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#### Abstract

The title compound, (benzophenone- $O$ ) tris ( $\eta^{5}$-cylopentadienyl)dysprosium, $\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}\right)\right.$ ], is a distorted tetrahedral coordination complex. The central dysprosium ion is $\eta^{5}$-bonded to three cyclopentadienyl ( Cp ) groups and $\eta^{1}$-bonded to one benzophenone molecule. The average Dy-O and Dy-C distances are 2.384 (3) and 2.733 (6) A , respectively. The Dy-O-C angle is $170.6(3)^{\circ}$.


## Comment

There is currently a rapidly increasing interest in the use of organolanthanides in organic synthesis (Molander, 1992). In the course of our work on the organolanthanide-catalyzed ene reaction of carbonyl compounds, we isolated the title intermediate $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{3} \mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{OCPh}_{2}\right)\right]$, (I), in which the benzophenone molecule, acting as a Lewis acid, is attached to the metallocene in an $\eta^{1}$ fashion.

(I)

The overall structure of (I) (Fig. 1) is similar to that of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{3} \mathrm{Dy}(\mathrm{thf})\right]$, (II) (Wu, Xu, You, Zhou, Huang \& Chen, 1994). The Dy atom has a distorted tetrahedral coordination involving the three cyclopentadienyl groups and the O atom of the benzophenone molecule. The average $\mathrm{Dy}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}}$ bond distance of 2.733 (6) $\AA$ is not significantly different from the value found in (II)
[2.70 (1) $\AA$ ], but is slightly longer than those observed in $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{DyOC}(\mathrm{Me})=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right]_{2}[2.668$ (6) $\AA$; Wu, $\mathrm{Xu}, \mathrm{You}$, Zhou \& Huang, 1994] and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{DyCl}\right]_{2}(2.63 \AA$; Lamberts \& Lueken, 1987). The difference apparently results from the fact that the steric crowding around the metal centre in compound (I) is greater than that in both $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{DyCl}\right]_{2}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{DyOC}(\mathrm{Me})=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right]_{2}$, and this causes unfavourable $\mathrm{Cp} \cdots M$ interactions. Consistent with this, the ring C atoms adjacent to the benzophenone ligand [ $\mathrm{C}(11), \mathrm{C}(15), \mathrm{C}(22)$ and $\mathrm{C}(23)]$ are furthest from the Dy atom so that steric interaction is minimized. It is surprising that the Dy-O bond distance of 2.384 (3) $\AA$ in (I) is shorter than the Dy- $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{thf}}$ distance of 2.462 (6) $\AA$ in (II), despite the fact that the benzophenone molecule has a larger steric bulk than the tetrahydrofuran molecule, which should cause the interligand repulsions to increase. This indicates that the coordination of the carbonyl O atom to the metal atom is stronger than that of the ether O atom.


Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I) showing $30 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Taking the difference of metal ionic radii into account (Shannon, 1976), the $\mathrm{Dy}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length is closer to the values observed in other carbonyl donor adducts, e.g. $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{3} \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right]$ [2.502 (6) Å; Schulz, Schultze, Reddmann, Link \& Amberger, 1992], [Cp $\left.\mathrm{p}_{3} \mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NCONMe}_{2}\right)\right]$ [2.459 (7) $\AA$; Domingos, Marques, Matos, Valenzuela \& Zinner, 1993] and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{3} \mathrm{Nd}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NCONMe} 2\right)\right]$ [2.437 (1) $\AA$; Domingos, Marques, Matos, Valenzuela \& Zinner, 1993]. The Dy-O-C angle of $170.6(3)^{\circ}$, however, is considerably larger than those observed in the above compounds (153-156 ${ }^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distance of the coordinated
benzophenone ligand is comparable to the corresponding value reported for free benzophenone [1.23 (1) A ; Fleischer, Sung \& Hawkinson, 1968], but is clearly shorter than those reported for $\eta^{2}$-benzophenone-metal complexes [1.39 (6)-1.43 (1) Á; Hou, Yamazaki, Kobayashi, Fujiwara \& Taniguchi, 1992; Erker, Dorf, Czisch \& Petersen, 1986].

## Experimental

The title compound was prepared under a purified argon atmosphere, with rigorous exclusion of oxygen and moisture, by reaction of $\mathrm{Cp}_{3} \mathrm{Dy}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ (molar ratio 1:1) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Red crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by the cooling of a saturated solution of (I) in dichloromethane at 243 K .

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Dy}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$
$M_{r}=540.00$
Triclinic
$P \overline{1}$
$a=8.387$ ( 8 ) £
$b=12.111$ (2) $\AA$
$c=12.619(2) \AA$
$\alpha=111.27(2)^{\circ}$
$\beta=102.06(3)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=99.81(5)^{\circ}$
$V=1125(1) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$
$D_{x}=1.59 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$D_{m}$ not measured
Data collection
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer
$\omega / 2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction: empirical via $\psi$ scan (TEXSAN; Molecular Structure Corporation, 1987)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.798, \quad T_{\text {max }}=$ 1.000

4229 measured reflections
3931 independent reflections
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 25
$\quad$ reflections
$\theta=13.94-14.92^{\circ}$
$\mu=3.367 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=296 \mathrm{~K}$
Prism
$0.52 \times 0.40 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$
Red

## Refinement

Refinement on $F$
$R=0.030$
$w R=0.039$
$S=1.34$
3640 reflections
272 parameters
H -atom parameters not refined
$w=1 / \sigma^{2}(F)$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.02$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=1.28 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-1.53 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction:
type 2, Gaussian isotropic (Zachariasen, 1967)
Extinction coefficient: $8.90 \times 10^{-7}$
Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

| $B_{\text {eq }}=\left(8 \pi^{2} / 3\right) \sum_{i} \Sigma_{j} U_{i j} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}$ |
| Dy | 0.36506 (2) | 0.65574 (2) | 0.84567 (2) | 2.80 (1) |
| O | 0.3014 (5) | 0.7852 (3) | 0.7478 (3) | 4.2 (1) |
| C | 0.2649 (5) | 0.8370 (4) | 0.6824 (4) | 3.0 (1) |
| C(11) | 0.6332 (9) | 0.6791 (9) | 0.7547 (8) | 7.0 (3) |
| C(12) | 0.6936 (8) | 0.7549 (6) | 0.8701 (8) | 6.5 (3) |
| C(13) | 0.7011 (8) | 0.679 (1) | 0.9302 (8) | 8.1 (3) |
| C(14) | 0.635 (1) | 0.5615 (9) | 0.850 (1) | 8.6 (4) |
| C(15) | 0.5999 (9) | 0.5624 (8) | 0.744 (1) | 8.3 (3) |
| C(21) | 0.4346 (8) | 0.7354 (6) | 1.0872 (5) | 5.6 (2) |
| C(22) | 0.4812 (9) | 0.8442 (6) | 1.0721 (5) | 5.9 (2) |
| C(23) | 0.3346 (8) | 0.8661 (5) | 1.0173 (5) | 5.1 (2) |
| C(24) | 0.1963 (8) | 0.7718 (6) | 0.9988 (5) | 4.8 (2) |
| C(25) | 0.2602 (8) | 0.6919 (5) | 1.0428 (5) | 4.9 (2) |
| C(31) | 0.0388 (8) | 0.5115 (7) | 0.7655 (7) | 6.7 (2) |
| C(32) | 0.0627 (8) | 0.5356 (6) | 0.6731 (7) | 6.6 (2) |
| C(33) | 0.173 (1) | 0.4759 (8) | 0.6322 (6) | 7.2 (3) |
| C(34) | 0.2180 (8) | 0.4148 (6) | 0.7015 (8) | 6.8 (2) |
| C(35) | 0.1374 (9) | 0.4374 (6) | 0.7831 (6) | 6.1 (2) |
| C(41) | 0.3447 (5) | 0.8254 (4) | 0.5852 (4) | 3.1 (1) |
| C(42) | 0.2482 (6) | 0.7636 (4) | 0.4675 (4) | 3.9 (1) |
| C(43) | 0.3238 (7) | 0.7545 (5) | 0.3782 (4) | 4.4 (2) |
| C(44) | 0.4905 (7) | 0.8074 (5) | 0.4063 (5) | 4.8 (2) |
| C(45) | 0.5881 (8) | 0.8663 (7) | 0.5221 (5) | 6.6 (2) |
| C(46) | 0.5163 (7) | 0.8758 (6) | 0.6121 (5) | 5.6 (2) |
| C(51) | 0.1492 (5) | 0.9159 (4) | 0.7016 (3) | 2.9 (1) |
| C(52) | 0.1445 (5) | 1.0009 (4) | 0.6511 (4) | 3.3 (1) |
| C(53) | 0.0490 (7) | 1.0825 (5) | 0.6810 (5) | 4.3 (2) |
| C(54) | -0.0404 (7) | 1.0824 (5) | 0.7606 (5) | 4.6 (2) |
| C(55) | -0.0389 (7) | 0.9972 (5) | 0.8086 (5) | 4.7 (2) |
| C(56) | 0.0543 (6) | 0.9143 (5) | 0.7806 (4) | 3.9 (1) |

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$
Cnt1, Cnt 2 and $C n t 3$ are the centroids of rings $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15), \mathrm{C}(21)-$ $\mathrm{C}(25)$ and $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(35)$, respectively.

| Dy-0 | 2.384 (3) | Dy-C(21) | 2.737 (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dy-C(11) | 2.749 (6) | Dy-C(22) | 2.770 (6) |
| Dy-C(12) | 2.717 (6) | Dy-C(23) | 2.774 (5) |
| Dy-C(13) | 2.724 (6) | Dy-C(24) | 2.750 (5) |
| Dy-C(14) | 2.702 (7) | Dy-C(25) | 2.721 (5) |
| Dy-C(15) | 2.753 (7) | Dy-C(32) | 2.726 (6) |
| Dy-C(31) | 2.737 (6) | Dy-C(34) | 2.707 (6) |
| Dy-C(33) | 2.708 (6) | Dy-Cnt 1 | 2.471 (2) |
| Dy-C(35) | 2.720 (6) | Dy-Cnt 3 | 2.465 (1) |
| Dy-Cnt 2 | 2.482 (1) | C-C(51) | 1.470 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ | 1.227 (5) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | 1.491 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Dy}$ | 170.6 (3) | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{I})$ | 121.0 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | 120.0 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(51)-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | 118.8 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}$ | 120.4 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}$ | 120.2 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(52)-\mathrm{C}(51)-\mathrm{C}$ | 120.8 (4) | $\mathrm{C}(56)-\mathrm{C}(51)-\mathrm{C}$ | 119.6 (4) |
| Cnt1-Dy-Cnt2 | 117.1 (3) | Cnt1-Dy-Cnt3 | 118.1 (3) |
| Cnt2-Dy-Cnt3 | 117.7 (4) | O-Dy-Cnt1 | 101.7 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Dy}-\mathrm{Cnt} 2$ | 98.8 (3) | O-Dy-Cnt3 | 96.3 (3) |

The structure of (I) was solved by the heavy-atom method. The H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C $\mathrm{H}=0.95 \AA$, but were not included in the refinement. All calculations were carried out on a MicroVAX 3100 computer using programs MITHRIL (Gilmore, 1983) and TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1987). ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) was used to produce the figure. Data collection and cell refinement used CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989).

This research is supported by a grant for a key research project from the State Science and Technology Commission of China.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: KH1066). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.
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#### Abstract

The structure of the title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}-\right.$ $(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2}$ ], has been determined. The geometry about the Cu atom is square pyramidal. The complex exists as a dimer about an inversion centre with the Cu atoms linked by two asymmetric chlorine bridges. The dimeric structure of this complex differs from the polymeric


dihalo-bridged chain of $\mathrm{Cu} L X_{2}$ complexes, where $L$ is 2-(aminomethyl)pyridine.

## Comment

The cis-diaquo complexes of copper(II) generated in aqueous solution from the corresponding dichloro complexes have been studied (Morrow \& Trogler, 1988; Chin, Jubian \& Mrejan, 1990; Wahnon, Hynes \& Chin, 1994) for their potential as functional enzyme models of phosphodiesterases and peptidases. We have prepared $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2}\right]$, (I), as part of our interest in the reactivity and structure of such complexes.


The title complex exists as a dimer which is well separated from the other dimers in the cell. The dimer exists about an inversion centre. The bridging $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ unit is planar with a $\mathrm{Cu} \cdots \mathrm{Cu}^{\prime}$ distance of 3.4137 (13) $\AA$. The chlorine bridges are unsymmetrical, with a $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ distance of $2.2705(14) \AA$ and a $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ distance of 2.8336 (16) $\AA$. The geometry about the Cu atom is distorted square pyramidal, with atoms $\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{Cl}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ defining the basal plane, and $\mathrm{Cl}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ located in the apical position.


Fig. 1. ORTEPII plot (Johnson, 1976) of the title complex showing the atom-numbering scheme. Ellipsoids are shown at the $50 \%$ probability level.

The geometry about the Cu atom and the structural characteristics of (I) are similar to other $\mathrm{Cu} L X_{2}$ complexes, where $L$ is a bidentate diammine ligand such as $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethylethylenediamine (Estes,

